

License Expectations

# <sup>1</sup> Contents

2	Licensing constraints	<b>2</b>
3	GPL-3 and derivatives	2
4	Original 4 clause BSD license	3
5	Apertis Licensing expectations	3
6	General rules of the Apertis project and their specific constraints	3
7	Apertis Repository component specific rules	3
8	target	4
9	hmi	4
10	sdk	5
11	development	5
12	Auditing the license of a project	5
13	Documenting exceptions	6
14	Appendix	6
15	The Debian Free Software Guidelines (DFSG)	6

<sup>16</sup> Apertis aims to accomplish the following goals with it's licensing:

Ensure that all the software shipped in Apertis is open source or at least
 freely distributable, so that downstreams are entitled to use, modify and
 redistribute work derived from our deliverables.

• Ensure that Apertis images targeting devices (such as target and minimal), are not subject to licensing constraints that may conflict with the regulatory requirements of some intended use cases.

<sup>23</sup> In order to reach these goals, the below assumptions are made:

- Licenses declared by open source projects are correct: The software authors correctly document the licensing of their released software sources and that they have all the rights to distribute it under the documented terms.
- Licenses verified by the Debian project are correct: The package distributors (that is, Debian maintainers and the FTP Masters team) check that the licensing terms provided by the software authors are open source using the definitions in the Debian Free Software Guide-lines<sup>1</sup> and ensure those terms are documented in a canonical location (debian/copyright in the package sources).
- Apertis also performs license scanning<sup>2</sup> as part of it's continuous integration process to help ensure that it's licensing goals are maintained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.debian.org/social\_contract#guidelines

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://em.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/architecture/license-scanning/

# <sup>36</sup> Licensing constraints

- <sup>37</sup> Apertis currently limits the usage of the licenses below:
- GPL-3.0 and derivatives (LGPL-3, AGPL-3)
- <sup>39</sup> BSD-4-Clause

## 40 GPL-3 and derivatives

Version 3 of the GPL license<sup>3</sup> was created to address the concern of users who were prevented from running modified code on their device, when the device was shipped with open source software. A common method for preventing users to run their own code is by using signature verification. This practice is known as Tivoization<sup>4</sup>. Those licensing rules are a constraint because in some application domains, it is a regulatory (or safety) requirement to ensure that the hardware runs verified software.

## 48 Original 4 clause BSD license

<sup>49</sup> The BSD-4-Clause<sup>5</sup> license still contains the problematic advertisement clause <sup>50</sup> that was dropped in later versions and is thus to be avoided in Apertis.

<sup>51</sup> The original authors of the license retroactively deleted the problematic clause <sup>52</sup> on the software under the University of California copyright, leading to the BSD-

on the software under the University of California copyright, leading to the BSD 4-Clause-UC<sup>6</sup> variant which resolves the issue on the original software, but not

54 on software with different copyright holders.

# 55 Apertis Licensing expectations

<sup>56</sup> Code written for Apertis, including build scripts, helpers and recipes, should
 <sup>57</sup> be licensed under the Mozilla Public License Version 2.0<sup>7</sup>. Images (such as
 <sup>58</sup> icons) and documentation in Apertis are licensed under the Creative Commons
 <sup>59</sup> Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International<sup>8</sup> (CC BY-SA 4.0) license.

# General rules of the Apertis project and their specific con straints

The Debian Free Software Guidelines<sup>9</sup> defines expectations for the licenses of the projects that are integrated in Debian. They serve as a base for Apertis

<sup>64</sup> policy. The DFSG can be read in the Appendix section of this document.

<sup>4</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tivoization

<sup>5</sup>https://spdx.org/licenses/BSD-4-Clause.html

 $^{6} https://spdx.org/licenses/BSD-4-Clause-UC.html$ 

<sup>7</sup>https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/MPL/2.0/

 $^{8}$ https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://spdx.org/licenses/GPL-3.0-or-later.html

 $<sup>^{9} \</sup>rm https://www.debian.org/social\_contract\#guidelines$ 

For more guidance on how to ensure your software properly identifies it's licensing, see the guide on applying licensing<sup>10</sup>.

On top of the DFSG expectations, Apertis defines additional rules for specific
sections of its package repository which are described in Apertis specific rules.
In particular, the sections in the Apertis package repository are meant to group
the packages that are installed on images for target devices and should thus be
free of licensing constraints.

Debian packages in a repository are organized in components. A component is
a group of packages sharing a common policy. A single image can incorporate
packages from different components.

## 75 Apertis Repository component specific rules

The canonical source of Licensing information is this document. Each repositoryis listed here, with the rules that apply.

Each component contains several source packages, and each source package can
generate multiple binary packages. For example, in a client server project, it's
possible for a source package to generate two binary packages: one for the server
side of a project, and one for the client side. Each binary package can have a
different license.

<sup>83</sup> For current apertis releases, the following components exist:

• target: contains packages for the final devices,

• hmi: contains user interfaces packages,

• sdk: contains packages specific to SDK

• development: contains packages useful for developers

The license expectations for each of those components are defined below. Any package outside these expectations should be documented as a license exception<sup>11</sup>.

#### 91 target

This component ships source packages producing binary packages used in images deployable on target devices. For a file in a binary package to be considered an artifact, the file must have been generated/compiled/translated from a source package. An artifact can be an executable, a library, or any other file that is subject to a license. Specifically, the binary packages installed on those images should not be affected by licensing constraints. This does not mean that every source or binary package in the component must be completely unrestricted:

source packages may contain restricted build scripts, provided that the
 license does not affect generated artifacts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>https://em.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/guides/license-applying/ <sup>11</sup>https://em.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/license-exceptions/

101	•	source packages may contain restricted tests or utilities, provided that
102		they are not shipped in the same package as the unrestricted artifacts
103		installed on target images

- binary packages may contain restricted artifacts, provided that they are
   built from a source package also producing unrestricted packages that are
   shipped on target images
- binary packages may contain restricted artifacts with added exceptions.
   The GCC Runtime Library Exception<sup>12</sup> covering libgcc is the main example. Those exceptions should be documented as license exceptions<sup>13</sup>.

#### 110 hmi

<sup>111</sup> This component has the same usage and constraints as the target component.

#### 112 sdk

This component ships source packages producing binary packages suitable for
images deployable on SDK images. Since the packages hosted in this component
are only meant for development purposes, no further requirement is imposed
other than the DFSG ones.

#### 117 development

This component provides the packages needed to build the packages in the target
repository component but that are not meant to be installed on target devices.
Build tools like GNU binutils, the GNU Autotools, or Meson are hosted in this
component.

Dependencies of packages in the target component that are not meant to be installed on target images are also hosted in this component. For instance, many source package in the target component also build a binary package containing their tests which are not intended to be part of the target images: the extra dependencies required by the test package but not by the main package are hosted in the development component.

The development component also host development tools that are not part of the target images by default, but that may be useful to install manually on target devices during development. Tools like strace, tcpdump or bash belong to this category.

Since those packages are exclusively intended for a development purpose within
 the Apertis development team no further requirement is imposed other than the

<sup>134</sup> DFSG ones.

<sup>12</sup>https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gcc-exception-3.1-faq.html
 <sup>13</sup>https://em.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/license-exceptions/

# <sup>135</sup> Auditing the license of a project

<sup>136</sup> Auditing the license of an imported package depends of the type of the project.

For debian packages, the Debian licensing information gives a good indication
if a project can be integrated in Apertis. Debian maintainers take extreme
precaution to ensure that what they redistribute is redistributable. Using the
Debian licensing information provides many benefits:

- vetting licensing terms to ensure they are open source (in particular, as defined in the DFSG)
- ensuring that non DFSG-compliant items are excluded from the source code
- a standardized location for the licensing information (that is, debian/copyright in the package source)
- an ongoing effort to make the provided licensing information machinereadable (DEP-5<sup>14</sup>)

Some projects may not be packaged by Debian. In this case, the project source
 code should contain a document stating the license. Any project that does not
 provide license information should not be redistributed.

## <sup>152</sup> Documenting exceptions

<sup>153</sup> For Apertis, the list of exceptions should mention:

- The project location in Apertis mainly gitlab or OBS.
- The project source package name
- The project component
  - The rule the project does not meet that requires the exception
- The reason behind the exception
  - The date at which the exception was made
  - The name of the person who validated the exception

The canonical source of Licensing exceptions is the license exceptions<sup>15</sup> document.

Apertis derived projects should provide an equivalent location for their specific
 exceptions.

# 165 Appendix

## <sup>166</sup> The Debian Free Software Guidelines (DFSG)

167 1. Free Redistribution

168

157

159

160

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>https://dep-team.pages.debian.net/deps/dep5/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>https://em.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/license-exceptions/

The license of a Debian component may not restrict any party from selling or 169 170 giving away the software as a component of an aggregate software distribution containing programs from several different sources. The license may not require 171 172 a royalty or other fee for such sale. 173 2. Source Code 174 175 The program must include source code, and must allow distribution in source 176 code as well as compiled form. 177 178 3. Derived Works 179 180 181 The license must allow modifications and derived works, and must allow them to be distributed under the same terms as the license of the original software. 182 183 4. Integrity of The Author's Source Code 184 185 The license may restrict source-code from being distributed in modified form 186 only if the license allows the distribution of "patch files" with the source 187 code for the purpose of modifying the program at build time. The license must 188 189 explicitly permit distribution of software built from modified source code. The 190 license may require derived works to carry a different name or version number from the original software. (This is a compromise. The Debian group encourages 191 all authors not to restrict any files, source or binary, from being modified.) 192 193 5. No Discrimination Against Persons or Groups 194 195 The license must not discriminate against any person or group of persons. 196 197 6. No Discrimination Against Fields of Endeavor 198 199 The license must not restrict anyone from making use of the program in a 200 specific field of endeavor. For example, it may not restrict the program from 201 being used in a business, or from being used for genetic research. 202 203 7. Distribution of License 204 205 206 The rights attached to the program must apply to all to whom the program is redistributed without the need for execution of an additional license by those 207 208 parties. 209 8. License Must Not Be Specific to Debian 210 211 212 The rights attached to the program must not depend on the program's being part of a Debian system. If the program is extracted from Debian and used or 213 214 distributed without Debian but otherwise within the terms of the program's

license, all parties to whom the program is redistributed should have the same rights as those that are granted in conjunction with the Debian system.
9. License Must Not Contaminate Other Software
The license must not place restrictions on other software that is distributed along with the licensed software. For example, the license must not insist that all other programs distributed on the same medium must be free software.
10. Example Licenses
The "GPL", "BSD", and "Artistic" licenses are examples of licenses that we consider "free".