

Test case dependencies on immutable rootfs

¹ Contents

2	Possible solutions	2
3	Overview of applicable approach	2
4	Rework tests to ship their dependencies in '/var/lib/tests'	2
5	Pros:	2
6	Cons:	3
7	OStree branch or static deltas usage	3
8	Pros:	3
9	Cons:	3
10	OStree overlay	4
11	Pros:	4
12	Cons:	4
13	Overall proposal	4
14	Create separate git repository for each test	5
15	Reduce dependencies	5
16	Make test relocatable	5

Immutable root filesystems have several security and maintainability advantages, and avoiding changes to them increases the value of testing as the system
under test would closely match the production setup.

This is fundamental for setups that don't have the ability to install packages at runtime, like OSTree-based deployments, but it's largely beneficial for package based setups as well.

To achieve that, tests should then ship their own dependencies in a self-contained
way and not rely on package installation at runtime.

²⁵ Possible solutions

For adding binaries into OStree-based system, the following approaches are possible:

- Build the tests separately on Jenkins and have them run from
 /var/lib/tests;
- Create a Jenkins job to extract tests from their .deb packages shipped on OBS and to publish the results, so they can be run from /var/lib/tests;
- Use layered filesystem for binaries install on top of testing image;
- Publish a separate OStree branch for tests created at build time from the
 same OS pack as image to test;
- Produce OStree static deltas at build time from the same OS pack as image to test with additional packages/binaries installed;

- Create mechanism for dpkg similar to RPM-OStree project* to allow in-37 stallation of additional packages in the same manner as we have for now. 38
- Creation of dpkg-ostree project will use a lot of time and human resources due to changes in dpkg and apt system utilities.

Overview of applicable approach 41

Rework tests to ship their dependencies in '/var/lib/tests' 42

Build the tests separately and have them run from /var/lib/tests or create a 43 Jenkins job to extract tests from their .deb packages to /var/lib/tests 44

Pros: 45

39

40

- 'clean' testing environment the image is not polluted by additions, so 46 tests and dependencies have no influence on SW installed on image 47
- possibility to install additional packages/binaries in runtime 48

Cons: 49

54

61

- some binaries/scripts expect to find the dependencies in standard places 50 - additional changes are needed to create the directory with relocated test 51 tools installed 52
- we need to be sure if SW from packages works well from relocated directory 53
- additional efforts are needed to maintain 2 versions of some packages and/or packaging for some binaries/libraries might be tricky 55
- can't install additional packages without some preparations in a build time 56 (save dpkg/apt-related infrastructure or create a tarball from pre-installed 57 SW) 58
- possible versions mismatch between SW installed into testing image and 59 SW from tests directory 60
 - problems in dependencies installation are detected only in runtime

OStree branch or static deltas usage 62

Both approaches are based on native OStree upgrade/rollback mechanism – only 63 transport differs. 64

Pros: 65

- test of OStree upgrade mechanism is integrated 66
- easy to create and maintain branches for different groups of tests so only 67 SW needed for the group is installed during the tests 68
- developer can obtain the same environment as used in LAVA in a few 69 ostree commands 70

- problems with installation of dependencies for the test are detected in a
 buildtime
- the original image do not need to have wget, curl or any other tool for
 download ostree tool have own mechanism for download needed commit
 from test branches
- with OStree static deltas we are able to test 'offline' upgrades without
 network access
- saves a lot of disk space for infrastructure due OStree repository usage

79 Cons:

86

87

89

- 'dirty' testing environment the list of packages is not the same as we have in testing image; e.g. system places for binaries and libraries are used by additional packages installed, as well as changes in system configuration might occur (the same behavior we have in current test system with installation of additional packages via apt)
- not possible to install additional packages at runtime
 - additional branch(es) should be created at build time
 - reboot is needed to apply the test environment
- in case of OStree static deltas creation of delta is an expensive operation
 - in terms of time and resources usage

90 OStree overlay

Overlay is a native option provided by ostree project, re-mounting "/usr" directory in R/W mode on top of 'overlayfs'. This allows to add any software into "/usr" but changes will disappear just after reboot.

94 Pros:

- limited possibility to install additional packages at runtime (with saved state of dpkg and apt) merged "/usr" is desirable
- possibility to copy/unpack prepared binaries directly to "/usr" directory
- able to use OStree pull/checkout mechanism to apply overlay

99 Cons:

- dirty testing environment the list of packages is not the same as we have
 in testing image
- OStree branch should contain only "/usr" if used. In other case need to use foreign for OStree methods to store binaries and/or filesystem tree
- can't apply additional software without some preparations in a build time (save dpkg/apt-related infrastructure, create a tarball from pre-installed SW or create an ostree branch)
- possible versions mismatch between SW installed into testing image and
 SW from tests directory
- problems in dependencies installation are detected only in runtime

¹¹⁰ Overall proposal

The proposal consist of a transition from a full apt based test mechanism to a more independant test mechanism.

Each tests will be pulled of apertis-tests and moved to its own git repository. During the move, the test will be made relocatable, and its dependencies will be reduced.

¹¹⁶ Dependencies that could not be removed would be added to the test itself.

At any time, it would still be possible to run the old tests on the non OSTree platform. The new test that have already be transitionned could run on both OSTree and apt platforms.

¹²⁰ The following steps are envisioned.

¹²¹ Create separate git repository for each test

In order to run the tests on LAVA, the use of git is recommended. LAVA
is already able to pull test definitions from git, but it can pull only one git
repository for each test.

To satisfy this constraint, each test definition, scripts, and dependencies must be grouped in a single git repository.

¹²⁷ In order to run the tests manually, GitLab is able to dynamically build a tarball ¹²⁸ with the content of a git repository at any time. The tarball can be retrieved ¹²⁹ at a specific URL. By specifying a branch other than master, a release-specific ¹³⁰ test can be generated. A tool such as wget or curl can be used, or it might be ¹³¹ necessary to download the test tarball from a host, and copy it to the device ¹³² under test using scp.

¹³³ Reduce dependencies

To minimize impact of the tests dependencies on the target environment, some dependencies need to be dropped. For example, Python requires several megabytes of binaries and dependencies itself, so all the Python scripts will need to be rewritten using Posix shell scripts or compiled binaries.

¹³⁸ For tests using data files, the data should be integrated in the git repository.

¹³⁹ Make test relocatable

Most of the tests rely on static path to find binaries. It is straightforward to modify a test to use a custom PATH instead of static one. This custom PATH would point to a subdirectory in the test repository itself.

This applies to dependencies which could be relocated, such as statically linkedbinaries, scripts, and media files.

¹⁴⁵ For the test components that might not be ported easily, such as For example

¹⁴⁶ AppArmor profiles that are designed to work on binaries at fixed locations, a

147 case-by-case approach needs to be taken.